

# **Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium**

Bill # HB0155			re state agencies to develo t personal information	p procedures to	
Primary Sponsor: Pomnichowski, JP		Status: As Int	roduced		
<ul><li>☐ Significant Local Gov Impact</li><li>☐ Included in the Executive Budget</li></ul>	□ Needs to be inclu □ Significant Long-		☐ Technical Concerns ☐ Dedicated Revenue F	Form Attached	
FISCAL SUMMARY					
	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>	
Expenditures: General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

**Description of fiscal impact:** The bill requires state agencies to develop policies for the protection of social security numbers. In addition, the bill requires affected persons to be notified in the event of a security breach. It is impossible to estimate if/when a security breach may occur and therefore what the associated fiscal impact would be.

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **Assumptions:**

### **Executive Branch**

- 1. HB 155 is consistent with the existing language in the "Interim Policy for Security of Sensitive Data" (effective July 7, 2006) published by the Information Technology Services Division of the Department of Administration. Most provisions of this bill are also covered in that policy. For the purposes of this fiscal note it is assumed the aforementioned policy would be amended to include the redaction and disposal requirements contained in Section 2 of the bill.
- 2. Agencies must develop procedures to implement the policy/legislation. It is assumed the development of these procedures would be absorbed within existing agency budgets.
- 3. If additional precautionary measures beyond those specified in policy such as encryption software or significant programming were implemented, agencies could incur significant costs.
- 4. If a computer system were determined to unnecessarily contain social security numbers, programming costs would be incurred by an agency to remove the data. These costs typically range from \$83 to \$90 per hour for contract programmers.

- 5. Section 3 of the bill describes the responsibility of a state agency to notify individuals in the event of a breach of their personal information. This is very difficult to estimate for fiscal note purposes. A breach may range from quite small (a few records) to very large (tens of thousands of records).
- 6. In the event of a breach, the affected department may be looking at anything from examining an individual staff member's handling of personal information to implementing mechanical and/or software barriers to protect its customer's personal information. It is assumed that reasonable efforts to provide notification of any breach of security that might occur would require preparing and mailing the effected parties. In addition, there would be costs associated with restoring the security and integrity of the compromised system. These costs would be based on the circumstances of the specific security breach, and can not be estimated.

# **Legislative Branch**

- 7. The Legislative Branch will develop policies and procedures for the protection of personal information as required by sections two and three of the bill.
- n

8.	The process of developing policies and procedures will be added to existing work plans and funded within existing appropriations.
	chnical Notes:  If a specific system was determined to need programming and adjustments, the September 1, 2010 implementation date could be a problem.

Date

**Budget Director's Initials** 

HB0155_	_01.doc
1/7/2009	

Sponsor's Initials

Date